

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,566	07/21/2003		Kiyoshige Ohmori	829-613 4790	
23117	7590 0	2/09/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON & V 1100 N GLEE	ANDERHYE	SIEK, VUTHE			
8TH FLOOR	2113112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201-4	4714		2825	

2825
DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M_{\sim}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	710
	10/622,566	OHMORI, KIYOSI	HIGE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vuthe Siek	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to to described and accepted to to describe acceptance. See the described in a sequired if the drawing(s) is object to be accepted in the drawing(s) is object to the described accepted accepted accepted to the described accepted	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/622,566 filed on 7/21/2003. Claims 1-14 remain pending in the application.

Drawings

2. Figures 8-14 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 10, "and when non-matching data is present" should be changed to --when no non-matching data is present-- in order to clearly define the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: phrase "creating first mask pattern data" and creating second mask pattern data" should be clarified which one is referred to as "corrected mask pattern data" and which one is referred to as "mask pattern data for comparison" as described in the specification and figures 1 and 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/622,566

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (6,077,310).
- As to claims 1 and 4, Yamamoto et al. teach a method for creating mask pattern data for verification and fabricating a circuit (see summary) comprising dividing original mask pattern data into a first plurality of regions for creating first mask pattern data based on each of the regions processed by the optical proximity correction (OPC) (making first correction on design data for a first area of a mask pattern using a prepared correction table contains correction values corresponding to a pattern and surrounding layout) and dividing the original mask pattern data into a second plurality of regions for creating second mask pattern data based on each of the regions by the OPC (making second correction on design data for a first area of a mask pattern using a prepared correction table contains correction values corresponding to a pattern and surrounding layout), where the each of the regions of the first division has a first size and the each of the regions of the second division has a second size different from the first size. The comparison is made in order to determine whether the corrected mask

Application/Control Number: 10/622,566

Art Unit: 2825

pattern data is properly corrected by matching data between the corrected mask pattern data and created mask pattern data. The prepared correction table is refereed to a corrected mask pattern data and created first correction design data referred to created mask pattern data for comparison (col. 9). The matching data is described in col. 10-11, 24-25. The dividing mask is described in col. 12, 18. Deleting data correspond to removing describing in col. 20. Comparing is described in col. 21.

As to claims 8 and 11, Yamamoto et al. teach a method for creating mask pattern 8. data for verification and fabricating a circuit (see summary) comprising dividing original mask pattern data into a first plurality of regions for creating first mask pattern data based on each of the regions processed by the optical proximity correction (OPC) (making first correction on design data for a first area of a mask pattern using a prepared correction table contains correction values corresponding to a pattern and surrounding layout) and dividing the original mask pattern data into a second plurality of regions for creating second mask pattern data based on each of the regions by the OPC (making second correction on design data for a first area of a mask pattern using a prepared correction table contains correction values corresponding to a pattern and surrounding layout), where the each of the regions of the first division has a first size and the each of the regions of the second division has a second size different from the first size. The comparison is made in order to determine whether the corrected mask pattern data is properly corrected by matching data between the corrected mask pattern data and created mask pattern data. The prepared correction table is refereed to a corrected mask pattern data and created first correction design data referred to created

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/622,566

Art Unit: 2825

mask pattern data for comparison (col. 9). The matching data is described in col. 10-11, 24-25. The dividing mask is described in col. 12, 18. Deleting data correspond to removing describing in col. 20. Comparing is described in col. 21. The prescribed range for comparison is described as OPE range (described starting col. 26).

- 9. As to claims 2, 6, 9 and 13, Yamamoto et al. teach the sizes of division of mask pattern design data is based on simulation time to perform OPC or processing time (col. 15).
- 10. As to claims 3, 7, 10 and 14, Yamamoto et al. teach grouping mask pattern data and performing only one process simulation (at the same time in parallel) on OPC on the same matching zone significantly improving the efficiency (col. 12).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the prescribed range is Grid x square root of 2 or more, but Grid x 2 or less, where the Grid is a size defining the minimum unit of the pattern.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,566

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vuthe Siek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1906. The examiner can normally be reached on Increase Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vuthe Siek

VUTHE SIEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER